

8

Appn. No. 09/991, 594 Unit 3732 (De Luca)

ANSWER TO EXAMINER'S OBJECTION

Although the invention may possibly have been anticipated by the referred Halford device, the suggestion would be many years old, i.e. Patented Dec. 16, 1954, and was never implemented for the same purposes as the invention. It appears, that if in fact, the invention was obvious, and because of the invention's great advantages to denture wearers, together with the enormous market of millions of denture users, those skilled in the art, surely and logically would have produced the invention by now. That is, that those skilled in the art, have not implemented the invention, despite its great need and the enormous market for it, involving millions of denture users, speaks for itself, indicating that it was not obvious.

Further, applicant's invention involves a different problem than the referred Halford device, and such different problem is recited in the claim.

In re Wright 8 USPQ 2nd 1959 (1988)


Appn. No. 09/991,594 Unit 3732 (De Luca)

CONDITIONAL REQUEST FOR CONSTRUCTIVE ASSISTANCE

Applicant has amended the specifications of this application to the best of her ability as a Senior Citizen and Pro Se Applicant.

If for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner in drafting one or more acceptable claims pursuant to MPEP 707.7(j) or in making constructive suggestions pursuant to MPEP 706.3(d) in order that this application can be placed in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

Very respectfully,



Eva De Luca

Applicant Pro Se

F.D.R. Station
Box 477
New York NY 10150
Tel./Fax - 1-718-653-4095